# Why dump iron in the oceans? Lessons learned from ocean iron fertilization experiments



Ken O. Buesseler Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution





# Outline

- Oceans Role in the Global Carbon Cycle & iron's role in ocean C cycle
- Ocean Iron Fertilization Experiments

   lessons learned
- Can we engineer an enhanced ocean C sink?
   will it work?
  - what are the consequences?
  - current commercial interests
  - remaining uncertainties



# Global Carbon Cycle

human activities
 release 6.5 billion
 metric tons C as CO<sub>2</sub>

 marine biota <1% of terrestrial C stocks

 marine biota 50% of global primary production

deep ocean 50x more
 C than atmosphere

# How the ocean "breathes"



- >500,000 surface  $CO_2$  measurements
- Ocean acts as both source and sink for  $CO_2$ 
  - Biological pump- Marine plants take up  $CO_2$
  - Solubility pump- cold water has higher CO<sub>2</sub>

# The "Solubility Pump"

## Gas exchange allows CO<sub>2</sub> to enter ocean

- flux depends upon airsea CO<sub>2</sub> difference
- Solubility increases in cold waters (polar regions are sinks, equatorial sources)
- El Nino reduces equatorial Pacific CO<sub>2</sub> release by 75%
   10% of global budget



# Atmospheric and ocean $CO_2$ are rising



# The "Biological Pump"



Combined biological processes which transfer organic matter and associated elements to depth

- pathway for rapid C sequestration

Quickly remove C from surface ocean & atm.

- turn off bio pump and 200 ppmv increase atm. CO<sub>2</sub>

# What controls carbon uptake by algae? *i.e. primary productivity*

- Light, temperature, mixing
- Major nutrients (N, P, Silica)
- Grazing
- · Micro-nutrients (Iron, Zinc)





What controls carbon export? *i.e. efficiency of biological pump* 

Biological pump and the ocean C sinkan inverted pyramid



**Primary Production** 

Export flux on sinking particles <5 to >15% (decades)

~1% (centuries)

~0.1% (millennium)

#### Why this variability?

Food-web controls efficiency of biological pump

High latitudes & Spring blooms High efficiency

Blooms of large diatoms (role of silica- ballast; lack of grazing)

High iron requirements





Equatorial regions & oligotrophic regions Low efficiency

Tightly coupled food web characterized by smaller cells & efficient grazers

Low iron requirements



# Iron Hypothesis – 40% of ocean "HNLC" – high nutrient, low chlorophyll & low Fe

Past climate shows correlations to support - high dust & iron - lower CO<sub>2</sub> & temp.



"Give me half a tanker of iron and I'll give you the next ice age" - J. Martin, 1990

So, could we add Fe to "fertilize" ocean & thus ameliorate greenhouse CO<sub>2</sub> build-up? 1. Will it work? 2. What are the ecological consequences?

nant Iron and an ocean bloom Nobel prizes Winners attantioned How preen is your A magnesium alternative the state of the second minimum in product in the second s Oct. 2000

Just Add Iron ABCnews.com, Amanda Onion 10/11/00

**How algae may slow warming** *By Gareth Cook, Boston Globe Staff, 10/12/2000* 

Helping ocean algae could beat greenhouse effect LONDON (Reuters), WIRE:10/11/2000

**Global Warming** NPR Morning Edition- John Nielsen, 10/11/00

Iron-Fed Plankton Absorbs Greenhouse Gases By ANDREW C. REVKIN, NY Times, 0/12/00

Iron May Increase Gas - Eating Algae By THE ASSOCIATED PRESS, 10/11/00 Ocean Fertilization Models - focus on Southern Ocean - high nutrients

- low dust, low iron

Remove all So. Ocean nitrate

- 100-200 Gt C sequestered

- Double atmospheric  $CO_2$ = 1000 Gt C so ocean "solves" 10-20% of  $CO_2$ problem

*- time scale of removal 100-300 years* 

- lower production in tropics?







#### Ocean Fertilization Experiments



If you add iron, you observe more phytoplankton (chlorophyll), but not necessarily enhanced sequestration (biological pump can have low efficiency)



172 W

65 S

67 5

SOFeX patch as seen from space 4 weeks after iron fertilization

SeaWiFS ocean color

Satellite image- Feb. 12, 2002, F. Chavez et al.



SOFeX patch seen as SF6 peak & Fv/Fm peak

Thorium-234 indicates similar particle flux in & out of patch (C flux may be elevated, but didn't see diatom crash)

# What is impact of the biological pump on C sequestration potential as a result of Fe addition? Example from "SOIREE"

| So. Ocean Feb. 1999 | Low      | High    |                          |
|---------------------|----------|---------|--------------------------|
| C uptake*           | 400 tons | 3000    | * Observed<br>from DTC & |
| (8.7 tons Fe added) |          | tons    | Cstocks                  |
| C flux @100m*: 1%   | 4        | 30      | * Range of               |
| 50%                 | 200      | 1500    | export ratios            |
| C flux @500m*: 10%  | 0.4-1.6  | 3-12    | * Range of               |
| (100m/500m) 40%     | 20-80    | 150-600 | deep ocean flu<br>data   |

The effectiveness of Fe on C sequestration is controlled by the type of plankton community that responds If one "SOIREE" leads to 1-600 tons C sequestration, can ocean fertilization impact atmospheric  $CO_2$ ?

Human impact atmospheric  $CO_2 = 6.5 \times 10^9$  tons/yr -to remove 10% need 1-650 x 10<sup>6</sup> "SORIEES"

In other units-1 SOIREE = 10<sup>3</sup> km<sup>2</sup> so 10<sup>6</sup> "SOIREES" = 10<sup>9</sup> km<sup>2</sup> note area ocean = 0.36 x 10<sup>9</sup> km<sup>2</sup>

1 SOIREE = 8.7 tons Fe so need 8.7 - 5,600 x 10<sup>6</sup> tons Fe = 220 - 141,000 ships w/40,000 ton load

# What would be needed to increase impact of ocean fertilization?

#### Higher yield per ton Fe-C:Fe of 3 x 10<sup>5</sup> possible in uptake experiments 1 "SOIREE+" = 2.6 x 10<sup>6</sup> tons C 250 "SOIREE+" = 10% annual CO<sub>2</sub> human input

Need high efficiency biological pump 100m C flux/uptake efficiencies as high as 50% midwater transfer of 10-40% into deep ocean - select for blooms of large diatoms?

Need enough nutrients not just Fe, or N or P, but Si would become limiting in So. Ocean blooms

## Could we monitor Fe induced C sequestration?

- Technology exists
  - tracers (thorium-234)
  - traps, optical methods

 Need to consider C sequestration relative to depth of seasonal mixing -C must reach depths that are slowly ventilated





## What are possible ecological consequences?

- oxygen depletion
- ecological shifts to harmful algae



- microbial shifts result in production of other greenhouse gases (methane, nitrous oxide) or DMS (cloud nucleation & aerosol scattering)
- disruption/changes to higher trophic levels
- many unknowns (scaling; duration; higher trophic levels) Negative impacts – "blue ocean turned green" Positive impacts – enhanced fisheries?
- by design, ocean fertilization changes ecology

# Commercialization of Ocean Iron Fertilization - here already





"option to own one ton  $CO_2$ equivalent = \$4 (15 tons per US household to offset typical contributions)"

"One of our eco-solution notions is to create a combined technology/methodology for Ocean Biomass Carbon Sequestration OBCS<sup>TM</sup>"

Patent applications-Fe nutrient delivery systems; application patterns Field plans-Marshall Islands; Chilean coast; Equatorial Pacific

### Key unknowns:

- extrapolation of results/scaling
- verification of carbon sequestration
- ecological consequences

# Knowns:

- at best, ocean fertilization partial solution & not permanent

similar to many other sequestration options

- low "cost" option buys time

is it worth it?

- Oceans already taking up 100 Gt fossil fuel C

- doing nothing results in changes to ocean temperature, circulation, stratification, pH and ecology

#### What is needed?

Experimental data is sparse & expensive

- scaling issues
- C flux monitoring & biogeochemistry
- ecological consequences
- modeling: 3D transport issues; max. impact

### Dialog is lacking

- not just ocean fertilization, but wrt other
   C sequestration & reduction options
- truth in advertising

# Where will this lead?

